

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

NICK PEARSON, On Behalf of Himself and All
Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

TARGET CORPORATION, a Minnesota
Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 11cv07972

Honorable James B. Zagel

Magistrate Judge Jeffrey T. Gilbert

**DEFENDANT TARGET CORPORATION'S UNOPPOSED MOTION
FOR LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY BRIEF IN EXCESS OF FIFTEEN PAGES**

Defendant Target Corporation (hereinafter referred to as “Defendant”), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby moves for a modest page-limit extension to respond appropriately to the arguments made by Plaintiff in his opposition to Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s First Amended Class Action Complaint. In support of this motion, Defendant states as follows:

1. Pursuant to Court Order, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s First

Amended Class Action Complaint on July 20, 2012, and Plaintiff filed his Response on August 31, 2012. Both Defendant and Plaintiff requested, and were granted, modest page-limit extensions to those briefs.

2. Defendant today files its Reply in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s First Amended Class Action Complaint. Defendant has addressed the arguments in Plaintiff’s Response as succinctly as possible, but to address those arguments appropriately, Defendant requests a short, two-page extension to Local Rule 7.1’s fifteen-page limit.

3. Plaintiff’s counsel has stated that Plaintiff has no objection to this request.

4. Allowance of the additional two pages requested by Defendant herein will not adversely impact any deadlines or prejudice any party (as Plaintiff has confirmed by electing not to oppose this request), but will allow Defendant to respond to Plaintiff's arguments in a manner that Defendant believes will be more informative and helpful to the Court.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, Defendant Target Corporation respectfully requests a two-page extension to Local Rule 7.1's fifteen-page limit in order to allow the filing of a seventeen-page Reply in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Class Action Complaint.

Dated: October 18, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bradley J. Andreozzi
Bradley J. Andreozzi (ARDC No. 6257334)
bradley.andreozzi@dbr.com
Justin O. Kay (ARDC No. 6286557)
justin.kay@dbr.com
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP
191 North Wacker Drive, Suite 3700
Chicago, IL 60606-1698
Tel: (312) 569-1000
Fax: (312) 569-3000

Kara L. McCall (ARDC No. 6272681)
kmccall@sidley.com
Shelby K. Feuerbach (ARDC No. 6296364)
sfeuerbach@sidley.com
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
One South Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60603
Tel: (312) 853-7000
Fax: (312) 853-7036

Counsel for Defendant Target Corporation